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Abstract
The high numbers of Aboriginal children placed in provincial and territorial care demonstrates the need for effective interventions that directly address the legacy of trauma from colonization. This paper argues that healing is a critical component of any intervention seeking to help Aboriginal Peoples and their children. Research on healing and recent government initiatives and legislation directed at preserving traditional Aboriginal healing practices are discussed. This article concludes with recommendations for various community members involved in the healing of Aboriginal Peoples.

Introduction
It has been documented that even before the first treaties were signed, Aboriginal Peoples had the ability and collective will to determine their own path in all aspects of their culture and had control over their own political, economic, religious, familial, and educational institutions (Keeshig-Tobias & McLaren, 1987; Lee, 1992). Years of colonialization have led to the devastation and almost complete genocide of Native culture (Red Horse, 1980; Patterson, 1972; Lee, 1992). Residential schools operated in Canada, opening as early as 1894 (earliest documentation of people being forced to send their children to residential school) with the last remaining residential schools closing in 1984 in British Columbia (Barton, Thommasen, Tallio, Zhang, & Michalos, 2005) and Saskatchewan in 1996 (Department of Indian and Northern Affairs, 2003). Approximately 20-30 percent (approximately 100,000 children) of Aboriginal Peoples attended residential schools (Thomas & Bellefeuille, 2006). Multiple residential school staff across Canada have plead guilty to various types of sexual, physical, psychological, and spiritual abuse towards Aboriginal children placed in their care (Gagne, 1998; Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples, 1996). Many children also died from preventable diseases (Milloy, 1999; Trocmé, Knoke, & Blackstock, 2004).

Statistically significant differences emerged between the students who attended, but their children, and their children’s children (Gagne, 1998). Barton, Thommasen, Tallio, Zhang, & Michalos (2005) examined differences in quality of life between Aboriginal residential school survivors, Aboriginal non-residential school attendees, as well as non-Aboriginal Bella Coola Valley residents. Based on a sample of 687 residents from the Bella Coola Valley area in B.C., Canada, the researchers conducted a retrospective review. Data obtained from 33 questions in the 2001 Determinants of Health and Quality of Life Survey was examined, utilizing a series of descriptive, univariate, and Pearson Chi-square analyses. Aboriginal participants included 47 (27%) residential school survivors and 111 (63%) non-residential school attendees. Ten percent of participants did not answer this question (Barton et al., 2005).
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Aboriginal residential school survivors and Aboriginal non-residential school attendees reported statistically significantly poorer health on most of the outcomes measures, compared with non-Aboriginals. These results demonstrate the intergenerational pervasiveness of the residential school experience. The authors of this study suggest that Aboriginal residential school survivors may have a higher prevalence of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) (Barton et al., 2005). This suggestion is validated by research that has found approximately two-thirds of Aboriginal Peoples have experienced trauma as a direct result of the residential school era (Manson, 1996; 1997; 2000).

Maria Yellow Brave-Heart (2003) identified various effects of PTSD. Possible effects include, but are not limited to, the following: identifying with the dead; depression; psychic numbing; hyper vigilance; fixation to trauma; suicide ideation and gestures; searching and pinning behaviour; somatic symptoms; survivor guilt; loyalty to ancestral suffering and the deceased; low self-esteem; victim identity; anger; distortion and denial of Native genocide; revictimization by people in authority; mental illness; triggers, flashbacks and flooding; fear of authority and intimacy; domestic and lateral violence; inability to assess risk; and re-enactments of abuse in disguised form.

Residential schools and the trauma that was experienced has been described as a “de-feathering process”, stripping Native Peoples of their knowledge, spirituality, physical and emotional well-being, and most sadly, has led to the loss of community (Locust, 2000). Native Peoples’ connection with the spiritual, emotional, physical, and mental realms has been abruptly and chronically disrupted (Locust, 2000). Gagne (1998) hypothesizes that colonialism is at the root of trauma because it has led to the dependency of Aboriginal Peoples to settlers and then to cultural genocide, racism, and alcoholism.

This trauma is associated with Aboriginal Peoples’ loss of culture. Aboriginal Peoples were forced to relinquish something valuable [cultural identity] that is difficult, if not impossible to regain (Ing, 1991). In the residential school system, Aboriginal children were forbidden to speak their own languages, practice their spiritual traditions, or maintain their cultural traditions (Trocme et al., 2004). Oral transmissions of child-rearing practices and values were also lost, as a result of suppressed language (Ing, 1991; Gagne, 1998). Residential school included parenting models based on punishment, abuse, coercion, and control. Children in residential schools did not experience healthy parental role models and without appropriate parenting models, many Aboriginal parents lacked the necessary knowledge to raise their own children (Grant, 1996; Bennett & Blackstock, 2002).

The devastating effects of this loss of culture can be seen in the high numbers of Aboriginal children who are removed from their homes and placed in provincial care. The U.N. has stated, “Indigenous children continued to be removed from their families by welfare agencies that equated poverty with neglect” (United Nations, 2003, p. 5). In British Columbia, the number of First Nations children in care increased from a total of 29 children in provincial care in 1955 to 39% of the total number of children in care in 1965 (Kline, 1992). By 1997, 20% (15,500) of children in care across Canada were First Nations (Hepworth, 1980). The highest numbers of First Nations children in care appear in the Western provinces, specifically in British Columbia (39%), Alberta (40%), Saskatchewan (50%), and Manitoba (60%) (Hudson & McKenzie, 1981). In 1981, 85% of children in care in Kenora, Ontario, were First Nations. First Nations only make up 25% of the population in Kenora. In this study, it was determined that status Indian children were placed in care at a rate of 4.5 times than that of other Canadian children (Kline, 1992). By the end of 1999, 68% of children in provincial care were First Nations (both status and non-status) (Farris-Manning and Zandstra, 2003). Unfortunately, Indian children are less likely to be adopted (Kline, 1992; Hudson & McKenzie, 1981). According to the Department of Indian Affairs, over 11,132 children of Indian status were adopted between 1960 and 1990 (Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples, 1996). This does not include all other types of non-Status Indian children. It has been documented that as low as 2.5 percent of Aboriginal children are placed in race-matched families (Blackstock & Bennett, 2003).

It is estimated that there are currently over 25,000 Aboriginal children in the child welfare systems across Canada (Blackstock, 2003). This is approximately three times the highest enrolment figures of the residential school in the 1940’s (Philip, 2002). Between 2000 and 2002, approximately 76,000 children and youth were placed in provincial/territorial care (Farris-Manning & Zandstra, 2003). Thirty to Forty percent of children in care were Aboriginal, (Blackstock & Bennett, 2003), when less then 5% of total children in Canada are Aboriginal (Human Resources Development/Statistics Canada, 1996). Between 1995 and 2001 the number of Status Indian children entering into care rose 71.5% across Canada (McKenzie, 2002). The U.N. committee on the Rights of the Child specifically raised concerns regarding the disproportionate risks faced by Aboriginal children in Canada and urged the Canadian government to eliminate all forms of inequalities (United Nations, 2003).
Although lengthy, the introduction of this paper has been used to demonstrate how colonization and forced cultural assimilation of Aboriginal Peoples, through the use of residential schools and then child welfare, has taken a substantial toll on Aboriginal communities across Canada. This is evidenced by the overrepresentation of Aboriginal children in care (Blackstock & Trocmé, 2005; Trocmé, MacLaurin, Fallon, Daciuk, Billingsley, Tourigny, Mayer, Wright, Barter, Burbord, Hornick, Sullivan, & McKenzie, 2001). The purpose of this article is to demonstrate that healing is an essential component of any intervention aimed at improving the lives of Aboriginal Peoples and their children.

As schools of social work in Canada work towards providing interventions that are ‘evidence-based,’ it is important to consider ‘evidence’ and ‘science’ from the perspectives of Aboriginal Peoples. I will begin with a brief discussion of the concept of knowledge and a comparison of Aboriginal and Western scientific practices. Following this discussion, qualitative research regarding the effectiveness of traditional healing practices will be presented. Various legislative impacts on the protection of traditional knowledge will also be discussed. This paper concludes with brief recommendations for the Federal and Provincial governments, professional associations and organizations, academic institutions, and various health care providers.

**Knowledge/ Evidence**

Battiste and Youngblood Henderson (2000) define knowledge in the Report on the Protection of Heritage of Indigenous People as “a complete knowledge system with its own epistemology, philosophy, scientific and logical validity… the plurality of indigenous knowledge engages a holistic paradigm that acknowledges the emotional, spiritual, physical, and mental well being” (Battiste & Youngblood Henderson, 2000, p. 41). The authors claim, “traditional ecological knowledge of Indigenous people is scientific; in the sense it is empirical, experimental, and systematic” (Battiste and Youngblood Henderson, 2000, p. 44). Aboriginal perspectives on knowledge differ in two important respects from Western science: 1) it is highly localized (geographically); and 2) it is social. The focus of Aboriginal science is the web of relationships between humans, animals, plants, natural forces, spirits, and landforms in a particular locality, as opposed to the discovery of universal laws (Battiste and Youngblood Henderson, 2000). The following chart notes some important differences between Aboriginal and Western science. There are considerable differences in regards to the purpose of research, methodologies and outcome measures utilized, and issues pertaining to control and ownership of research. While keeping these differences in mind, it is important to remember that the diversity of Indigenous Peoples’ cultures, histories, and knowledges should not be a barrier, but used as an opportunity to demonstrate the strength of plural knowledges in contemporary contexts (Martin Hill, 2003).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABORIGINAL SCIENCE</th>
<th>WESTERN SCIENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PURPOSE – to understand WHY or the ultimate causality.</td>
<td>PURPOSE – to describe HOW or the immediate causality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METHODS - talking with Elders, prayer, fasting, and traditional ceremonies.</td>
<td>METHODS – measurement, breaking things down to their smallest parts, analyzing data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUTCOME MEASURES – balance within with and with the Natural World.</td>
<td>OUTCOME MEASURES – a report of findings and data analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBJECTIVE – the scientist/researcher puts themselves into their study.</td>
<td>OBJECTIVE – the scientist/researcher separates themselves and their feelings from what they study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPIRITUAL – spirituality is in everything and everything is interconnected.</td>
<td>Separate religion from science.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY CONTROL</td>
<td>EXPERT CONTROL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Donna Dubie**, founder of Healing of the Seven Generations in the Kitchener/Waterloo area, wrote a grant proposal requesting funding for Aboriginal healing programs. The requested document, which she submitted to the Federal Government, had a section for “evidence”. The “evidence”, from a Western perspective, was likely looking for numbers, data, and a statistical analysis of findings. Ms. Dubie wrote, “I’m sorry it would take me years and years to explain to you how healing works and how we know it works…you will just have to take my word” (Donna Dubie, 2007). This quote represents the complexity of the study of healing and its associated practices. Her proposal was successful and in 1998, the Government of Canada established a 350 million dollar fund that is administered by the Aboriginal Healing Foundation, operated by Aboriginal Peoples (Department of Justice, 2005).

**Healing**

Aspects of healing are closely guarded by oral traditions, and specific techniques are received directly from Elder healers, from spirits encountered during vision quests, and as a result of initiation into a secret society. It is believed that to share healing knowledge...
indiscriminately will weaken the spiritual power of the medicine (Herrick & Snow, 1995, p. 35). The Aboriginal Healing and Wellness Strategy (AHWS) provides a framework that can be used in developing community-appropriate guidelines for traditional healing programs. The AHWS does not support the recording or documentation of the practices of Healers, the medicines, the ceremonies, and the sacred knowledge for any purpose (Aboriginal Healing and Wellness Strategy, 2002). This is done in order to preserve the integrity of sacred knowledge and out of respect for the practitioners who hold this wisdom.

Community members have stated that healing work needs to be intimately aligned to relationships with Elders and other cultural leaders, as well as ceremonies and protocols designed for personal development (Lane, Bopp, Bopp, & Norris, 2002, pp. 2-3). Western/European interventions of mental health have been identified as generally ineffective in responding to the needs of Aboriginal Peoples (Warry, 1998; McCormick, 1997; O’Neil, 1993). It is also well documented that Aboriginal people avoid using mainstream mental health services (McCormick, 1997) and have unusually high dropout rates when such services are utilized (Sue, 1981). Healing is an essential component in addressing the fact that both the Federal and Provincial governments have inflicted Aboriginal Peoples with many various forms of systematic abuse and discrimination, over several generations, in an attempt to assimilate Aboriginal Peoples into the dominant society through education, religion, law, and theft of land (Morrissette, McKenzie & Morrissette, 1993; Waldram, 1990).

Aboriginal Peoples argue that supporting and enhancing Aboriginal culture is a prerequisite for positive coping (Peters, 1996). This process of regaining our cultural heritage is essential for survival. Our ancestors have prescribed interventions for many generations and these teachings need to be revived and integrated into current practices. It is essential that we are able to get in touch with our Indigenous identities and ways of being in the world. Until traditional Indigenous therapies are implemented and considered legitimate, there will remain the struggle and suffering of a historical legacy, and ongoing trauma will continue (Duran, Duran, Yellow Horse Brave Heart & Yellow Horse-Davis, 1998). The Aboriginal Healing Foundation (2007) believes that culture is the best medicine.

Research on Healing

The best available evidence for Aboriginal Healing is derived from qualitative studies. Thomas and Bellefeuille (2006) conducted a formative qualitative study exploring a Canadian cross-cultural Aboriginal mental health program in Winnipeg, Canada. A recruitment notice was circulated among Aboriginal organizations inviting people, who had prior residential school experience, within the city to participate. Methods utilized were conversational-style interviews (non-scheduled format) and a focus circle/group. Traditional Aboriginal healing circles and ‘focusing’ (a psychotherapy technique comprised of self-awareness and empowerment practices), were the interventions being evaluated.

Traditional healing circles refer to the coming together of Aboriginal Peoples for the purpose of sharing their healing experiences and to further their healing journey (Heilbron & Gutman, 2000; Latimer & Cassey, 2004). Focusing refers to a body-based, awareness technique that involves turning one’s attention to the various sensations of the body. It allows people the opportunity to be safe observers of their bodily experiences of trauma and to experience this sensation at their own pace (Gendlin, 1996).

Results demonstrate that the traditional healing circle created a safe environment for the participants, emphasized the sacredness of each story shared, and gave the opportunity, to each of its members, to share without being interrupted. Story telling, teaching, and sharing within healing circles promoted a spirit of equality in the counselling relationship, empowered the participants, and eliminated hierarchy. Focusing was found to be effective in helping people to overcome self-criticism, overcome feelings of being stuck in life, deal with unsure feelings, get what they are seeking from within themselves, better handle emotions, shift out of old routines, and deal with past traumatic events. It was suggested that focusing could be appropriate for Aboriginal Peoples, as it is a humanistic, person-centered approach to healing, which reflects the core values of respect and non-interference (Thomas & Bellefeuille, 2006).

Kishk Anauquot Health Research collected data from participants of various healing projects funded by the Aboriginal Healing Foundation from Sept 2002 to May 2003. They utilized a National survey, individual questionnaires, and focus groups. Participation requests were sent out to active grants projects and 826 participants from 90 different healing programs across Canada responded. Challenges affecting more than half of the participants in this study were: denial; grief; history of abuse as a victim; poverty; and addictions (Aboriginal Healing Foundation, 2003).

Western interventions identified as helpful were: individual, group, couples, and family therapy; art therapy; narrative therapy; attachment theory; and genograms. Traditional interventions included sharing circles, sweats, ceremonies, fasting, Métis wailers, and traditional teachings. Alternative interventions were
also noted, such as energy release work, breath work, acupuncture (Aboriginal Healing Foundation, 2003, p. 68). It was stressed that these various approaches were balanced and/or used simultaneously (Aboriginal Healing Foundation, 2003, p. 95). This literature suggests that a choice of intervention approaches be offered, with the flexibility to meet special needs.

The participants of this in-depth study identified individual therapy sessions as helpful to improving their self-esteem and finding personal strengths. Individuals found healing programs helpful in the following ways: ability to handle difficult issues (71%, n = 726); ability to resolve past trauma (75%, n = 726); ability to prepare for and handle future trauma (78%, n = 731); ability to secure family supports (64%, n = 675) (Aboriginal Healing Foundation, 2003).

The most helpful aspects of healing programs were: Legacy education, opportunities for learning (specifically relationship skills and processing intense emotions), bonding or connecting with other participants, and cultural celebration. Legacy education refers to information regarding residential schools and has been noted for its particular usefulness as it “explains that the reactions to the residential school experience are normal and predictable consequences of institutional trauma and not an individual character flaw or weakness” (Aboriginal Healing Foundation, 2003, p. 76).

The focus group participants also identified several key characteristics of a healer: a good track record of ethical conduct supported by references; humble; honest; gentle; has worked through their anger; are recognized by others as a healer; listens intently; hears clearly; has reconciled with mother earth; absolute self acceptance; respected in the community; fearless; free from the need to control; understands professional limitations and makes referrals; and is spiritually grounded (Aboriginal Healing Foundation, 2003).

Duran & Duran (2000) conducted a study using a combination of culturally based dream interpretation & Western psychotherapy techniques. First Peoples found these techniques to be helpful in treating PTSD symptoms with a focus on how they are transmitted across generations. The most promising aspect found was reconnecting clients with their Native identity, which improved self-esteem and sense of identity, which in turn, was correlated with healthy functioning (Duran & Duran, 2000, p. 89). Within counseling, client’s are helped to reconnect with their culture, as well as to understand and cope within the dominant white environment, while still maintaining their cultural sense of identity (Duran & Duran, 2000).

Chandler and Lalonde (1998) examined cultural continuity as a protective factor against suicide among First Nations Peoples. Six factors of cultural continuity were examined: evidence of self-government; evidence that bands had tried to secure Aboriginal title to their traditional lands; majority of students attend a band-run school; band-controlled police and fire services; band-controlled health services; and established cultural facilities. Data for the study was taken from suicide information (both Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal) and was gathered from several sources: Statistics Canada, The British Columbia Ministry of Health, Health Welfare Canada, The Canadian Centre for Health Information, Indian Registry, and Band Governance Database from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada. They hypothesized that when communities have a strong sense of their own historical continuity and identity, vulnerable youth have access to resources in the community that act as a buffer in times of despair. Therefore, in communities where cultural transmission has been disrupted, vulnerable youth may be at increased risk of suicide due to the lack of such buffers (Chandler & Lalonde, 1998).

The researchers found that the rate of suicide was strongly associated with the level of these factors. They discovered lower suicide rates where efforts were being made within the community to preserve and rebuild their culture. Communities with all of the cultural continuity factors had no suicides, while those with none had significantly higher suicide rates (p=.002). They note that there is no clear reason to label a death as suicide, and therefore, deaths are typically recorded as accidental (Chandler & Lalonde, 1998). Accidental death rates are substantially higher within First Nations populations. This suggests a massive underestimation of true suicide rates.

Brave Heart-Jordan (1995) conducted a group intervention among the Lakota, with the primary purpose of grief resolution and healing. Key features of this intervention are congruent with treatment for Holocaust survivors and descendants. Catharsis, abreaction, group sharing, testimony, opportunities for expression of traditional culture and language, and ritual and communal sharing were included in the intervention model.

Results demonstrate that 100% of participants found the intervention helpful in the area of grief resolution and felt better about themselves after the intervention. Ninety-seven percent of participants were able to make constructive commitments to memories of their ancestors following the intervention. Seventy-three percent of participants rated the intervention as very helpful (Brave Heart-Jordan, 1995).

It has been found that healers help clients overcome their fear of change, help to clarify their vision, and
strengthen their motivation. It was also found that no specific healing technique was better than another, but rather appeared to be a vehicle for giving clients the power to access something they already possess (Carlson & Shield, 1990).

Davis-Berman & Berman (1989) conducted an evaluation of wilderness camps and found participation in the camps to: foster a strong sense of cultural pride; renewed sense of belonging; and increased trust in relationships. Wilderness Camps address physical, spiritual, emotional, and mental aspects of the lives of the youths attended. These camps were found to foster increased self-esteem in participants because these programs are flexible and diverse, allowing every participant to find a different activity in their area of strength (Davis-Berman & Berman, 1989).

Fuchs and Brashshur (1975) found that Native Peoples believe that Western medical care treats the symptoms of disease, but does not deal with the cause (p. 918). Two hundred and seventy-seven families were interviewed and completed the survey and 170 families (33%) who completed the survey were not interviewed, due to a variety of factors. Results demonstrate that 1/3 Native families (in US-random sample) used traditional Native medicine in combination with the use of modern Western medicine.

A strong relationship between the use of traditional medicine and returning to the reserve was found and it was suggested that this association reflects an unmet need for traditional Aboriginal medicines in urban areas (Fuchs & Brashshur, 1975). Suggestions are made for urban centers to preserve Native culture and promote the availability of traditional health treatments (Fuchs and Brashshur, 1975, p. 925).

Traditional healing practices have also been found to have profound effects on individuals who have sexually offended in the community. For example, counselors taking part in traditional healing practices with Aboriginal men reported an increased openness to treatment, an enhanced level of self-disclosure, and a greater sense of grounding or stability. “Having attended sweat, I do know that during the ceremony people are able to talk about their own victimization because of the safe and secure nature of the sweat” - the quote is an expression from a therapist (Solicitor General Canada, 1998, p. 76).

There is a diverse range of traditional healing practices that have roots in Indigenous values and cultures. Some core values of healing practices (holism, balance and connection to family and the environment) are common to Aboriginal worldviews across cultures, while others are clearly rooted in local customs and traditions. For Indigenous peoples, the concept of holism extends beyond the mental, physical, emotional, and spiritual aspects of individual lives and includes relationships with families, communities, the physical environment, and the spiritual realm. These values are seen in traditional interventions in Greenland, Australia, and the United States of America (Archibald, 2006, pp. 39-53). Over time, some of these practices have grown to incorporate Western values and practices. It has been well documented that a cross-cultural approach will allow for both traditional Western clinical practices and Aboriginal healing practices (Culley, 1991; Graveline, 1998; McGovern, 1998; Thomas & Bellefeuille, 2006).

The Aboriginal Healing Foundation published a report of the history of Aboriginal Peoples in Canada in order to enable a future in which Aboriginal people have fully addressed the legacy of historic trauma suffered in the residential school system (Aboriginal Healing Foundation, 2006). The Foundation states that healing is a long-term process that occurs in stages. They project that it takes an average of ten years of sustained work, for a community to reach out to individuals and create a trusting and safe environment, while disengaging denials of the past, and engaging participants in direct therapeutic healing. Healing goals are best achieved through services provided by Aboriginal practitioners and long-term involvement in a therapeutic setting. Participants of services provided by the Aboriginal Healing Foundation rated Elders, healing and talking circles, and traditional ceremonies and practices as most effective. During a discussion with the commissioners of the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples, Harold Orten elucidated the relationship between culture and healing with this quote:

“Recovering our identity will contribute to healing ourselves. Our healing will require us to rediscover who we are. We cannot look outside for self-image; we need to rededicate ourselves to understanding our traditional ways. In our songs, ceremony, language and relationships lie the instructions and directions for recovery.” (Peters, 1996, p. 320).

For many Aboriginal Peoples, healing means addressing approaches to wellness that draw on culture for inspiration and means of expression (Thomas & Bellefeuille, 2006).

The Aboriginal Healing Foundation provides detailed information on best healing practices and state that healing and reconciliation are central to First Peoples’ ability to address other pressing social issues and to move to better relationships (Aboriginal Healing Foundation, 2007). Traditional healing practices have demonstrated effectiveness and should be incorporated in all possible interventions involving First Peoples and their children.
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These practices should be evident in both professional practice and in the development of social policies.

Legislation: Aboriginal Culture and Research

Protection and Promotion of Existing Knowledge

In January 1998, as part of its response to the report of the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples, the Government of Canada issued a Statement of Reconciliation. This document acknowledged the contributions made by Canada’s Aboriginal Peoples to the development of Canada. It also recognized the impact of actions that suppressed Aboriginal language, culture and spiritual practices, which resulted in the erosion of the political, economic, and social systems of Aboriginal Peoples. It acknowledged the role that the Government of Canada played in the development and administration of residential schools and stated that we need to work together on a healing strategy to preserve and enhance the collective identities of Aboriginal communities. This statement is important because offering an apology and acknowledgement of the wrongs of the past is an important first step to building the foundation for a new relationship with Canada’s Aboriginal Peoples, one founded on trust and respect (Department of Justice, 2005).

The Canadian Medical Association has called on its members to show “openness and respect for traditional medicine and traditional healing practices, such as sweat lodges and healing circles” (Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, 1996). This is in line with the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples, which promotes “openness and respect for traditional medicine and traditional healing practices” (Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples, 1996).

The Canadian Association of Social Workers (2004) state that the institutions responsible for providing mental health services to Aboriginal Peoples are embedded in “westernized” models. They argue that these models do not correspond with the realities of First Peoples and call upon Canadian schools of social work to support the development of culturally appropriate social work education and models of practice.

Recent Government Initiatives

The Government of Canada has made a five year commitment of 125 million dollars in order to sustain critical work of healing the legacy of abuse suffered in Canada’s Indian residential school system. Although this is cause for hope and celebration, this funding will only sustain current programming for up to three years and does not allow for funding any new projects. This greatly appreciated financial gift barely touches the tip of the iceberg. The Aboriginal Healing Foundation projects that it will take 600 million dollars, over the next 30 years, to fully address the effects of abuse resulting from the residential school system (Aboriginal Healing Foundation, 2005).

Aboriginal Research

Aboriginal research is comprised of research committees, a research ethics board (REB), and a code of research ethics. James Bay Cree Health and Social Services Commission and the Assembly of Manitoba Chiefs have research committees that review proposals to ensure that they are acceptable to their member communities. All funding agencies in Canada require approval from an REB before they will grant funds for research. For research involving First Nations, an REB has to consult with a First Nations expert, or with people from the First Nations communities concerned. This is important because the REB and First Nations may have different ideas about what constitutes harm, benefits, and confidentiality (First Nations Centre, 2003a).

The development of ethical guidelines is critical to prevent further exploitation of Aboriginal communities and to protect their knowledge. First Nations Centre at the National Aboriginal Health Organization provides extensive information and tool kits about understanding research, ethics in health research, privacy, and OCAP: ownership, control, access and possession. The OCAP principles can be applied to all research initiatives involving First Nations (First Nations Centre, 2007). Codes of ethics developed by First Peoples’ communities are also very clear about issues of community rights.

Community Rights

It is of the utmost importance that the community being researched also benefits from the research and its findings. Community consent must be obtained from representatives of the people concerned (such as a Band Council or a regional First Nations organization). Oral consent and gift giving is very traditional and acceptable for First Peoples. Community control over the research process and how the results are used are very important to First Peoples. There is often an agreement on the sharing of results; the originating community has the right to know the research results. At a minimum, this means that research results should be returned to the community or the participants in a format that they can understand, such as plain-language flyers, radio broadcasts, or public presentations. Ideally, the people involved in the research should be the first to see the results. Community ownership refers to the shared ownership of information collected from a community. The information should remain collectively owned by the community from which
it was taken (First Nations Centre, 2007). This is critical in developing strong relationships & rebuilding trust.

Mainstream research organizations consider it good practice, but not mandatory, to involve communities in interpreting research results, whereas, the codes of ethics developed by some First Nations communities, state explicitly that if outside researchers are involved, the research results must be jointly interpreted by the community and outside researchers, governments and universities. In the case of a disagreement over the interpretation of the results, the researcher can go ahead and publish, but the community has the right to include a description of why they disagree and how they interpret the findings. The idea is that the public will be able to read both interpretations and decide which one they agree with (First Nations Centre, 2003b).

After reviewing the research regarding the effectiveness of healing and discussing current legislative and government initiatives concerning research involving First Peoples, brief and non-exhaustive recommendations are made in the aspiration of increasing awareness on issues regarding First Peoples’ culture, traditions, values, and best healing practices.

Recommendations: Government
1. Provide funds to allow for the development of traditional healing awareness, healing programs, and further research in best healing practices.
2. Continue to fund healing programs and services such as the Aboriginal Healing Foundation, created April 1, 1998 to assist First Peoples communities as they work to heal the legacy of the physical and sexual abuse of the residential school system, including intergenerational impacts. The Foundation provides funding and supports holistic and community-based healing initiatives and projects that incorporate traditional healing methods and other culturally appropriate approaches (Aboriginal Healing Foundation, 1999-2007).
3. Provide incentives for other funding organizations to commit a certain percentage of funding to promoting research on Aboriginal issues.
4. Increase awareness with government-initiated awareness campaigns regarding the demographics of Aboriginal Peoples, the disruptive impact of colonization, and governmental obligations and policies regarding health (Society of Obstetricians and Gynecologists of Canada, 2000).

Recommendations: Professional Associations and Organizations
1. Set aside time at annual conferences to provide professional education regarding the legacy of residential school and its impacts on First Peoples today.
2. Hold periodic regional and national sharing and networking conferences across associations and organizations. There is a need for information sharing and development of relationships among healers and Elders, in conjunction with western-trained health professionals. For example, the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC), has an Aboriginal Health Issues Committee which is a multidisciplinary committee with Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal members, with representation from several Aboriginal organizations and backgrounds including First Nations, Inuit, and Metis (SOGC, 2000).
3. Offer training to health care providers in culturally appropriate practices and interventions for First Peoples.
4. Encourage employees to increase their own self-awareness regarding their own stereotypes and biases that may affect treatment.
5. Develop specific best practice principles and guidelines to assist in working with First Peoples clients. This should be done in collaboration with First Peoples.
6. Provide culturally sound interventions when working with First Peoples and their children that incorporate Legacy education, cultural identity, and opportunities for healing.

Recommendations: Academic Institutions
1. Educate social work and other health care professional students with regards to the legacy of residential schools and the ongoing effects of colonization on Aboriginal Peoples today.
2. Provide social work and other health care professional students with a critical appreciation of the centrality of Aboriginal culture in the healing process and an understanding of the diversity of First Peoples expression of culture. The ways in which this diversity affects one’s sense of identity and approaches to social work practice should be incorporated into the curriculum (Thomas & Bellefeuille, 2006, p. 11).
3. Mandate courses in cultural competency in Canadian schools of social work. For example, Wilfred Laurier University offers courses on different paradigms (Aboriginal and Western models), as well as compulsory courses on multicultural counselling or cultural competency. Wilfred Laurier University offers a one year MSW program in the Aboriginal
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field of study (Wilfred Laurier University, 2007). In this program, students are taught: holistic healing practices from Elders; Indigenous identity, knowledge, and theory; and Indigenous research methodologies. There is also a cultural camp, which includes a five day program in a camp setting in the presence of Elders, where participants learn about traditional songs, dances, teachings, and values.

4. Encourage and support further research in collaboration with First Peoples, for First Peoples, utilizing a combination of Western and First Peoples’ methodologies.

Endnotes
1. Throughout this article, the terms Aboriginal Peoples and/or First Peoples is used to collectively encompass First Nation, Inuit, and Métis people in Canada. The term Indigenous is used when discussing Aboriginal Peoples internationally. Other terms, such as Native, Indian, Status Indian, and non-status Indian are used in order to maintain the original language of the specific literature being referred to.
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