

November 24, 2010

JORDAN'S PRINCIPLE

Summary Box: Federal/Provincial Engagement on Jordan's Principle

Provinces with Agreements	Provinces that wish to reach agreements	Provinces not interested in a formal process
<p>Manitoba (2008) – Bilateral agreement to implement Jordan's Principle. Work is ongoing.</p>	<p>British Columbia - Draft bilateral Joint Process for the Implementation of JP has been shared with First Nations; bilateral and tripartite discussions are ongoing.</p>	<p>Quebec, Newfoundland and Labrador, Nova Scotia, and Prince Edward Island have indicated they have sufficient processes in place to address cases/ jurisdictional disputes.</p>
<p>Saskatchewan (2009) – Tripartite agreement on an Interim Implementation Plan. Work is ongoing.</p>	<p>Alberta – Province has expressed interest in establishing a dispute resolution process for JP implementation and working with First Nations.</p> <p>While Ontario does not see a need for a formal dispute resolution mechanism, they would like to work with Canada and First Nations to support JP implementation.</p> <p>New Brunswick - Developing a tripartite joint statement which outlines an agreed upon case conferencing / dispute resolution approach.</p>	
<p>Canada maintains a watching brief on activities in the Yukon.</p>		

Sector Policy Contact: Lili Zwart/HQ/ESDPPP/613-995-9013