



April 11, 2016

Via Email: Dragisa.Adzic@tribunal.gc.ca

Dragiša Adzic  
Registry Officer  
Canadian Human Rights Tribunal  
160 Elgin Street, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Ottawa, ON K1A 1J4

Dear Mr. Adzic:

**Re: FNCFCSC et al. v. Attorney General of Canada (Tribunal File T1340/7008)**

In respect of the above matter, the Assembly of First Nation (“AFN”) provides the following response to the “Respondent’s Further Submissions on Remedy” dated April 6, 2016.

The AFN submits that while it welcomes the conciliatory tone of the Respondent’s further submissions on remedy, with all due respect, they are not adequate to address discrimination in the short term. The AFN further submits that the Respondent’s submissions are vague: more detail was expected from the Respondent on the breakdown of the amounts proposed in the Budget Plan 2016.

We ask that the Tribunal consider our reply submissions of March 31, 2016 and look forward to the Tribunal’s further directions on remedies.

Sincerely,

NAHWEGAHBOW, CORBIERE

Per: David C. Nahwegahbow, IPC, LSM, LL.B.

[dndaystar@nncfirm.ca](mailto:dndaystar@nncfirm.ca)

cc. Jonathan Tarlton, Ainslie Harvey, Melissa Chan, Terry McCormick, and Patricia MacPhee Counsel for the respondent Attorney General of Canada

Daniel Poulin and Samar Musallam, Counsel for the Canadian Human Rights Commission



David Taylor, Sébastien Grammond, Anne Levesque and Sarah Clarke Counsel for the complainant First Nations Child and Family Caring Society

Maggie Wente, Counsel for the interested party Chiefs of Ontario

Justin Safayeni, Counsel for the interested party Amnesty International