

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA

(ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL OF QUÉBEC)

IN THE MATTER OF a Reference to the Court of Appeal of Québec in relation to the *Act respecting First Nations, Inuit and Métis children, youth and families* (Order in Council No.: 1288-2019)

BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUÉBEC

APPELLANT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA, ASSEMBLÉE DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS QUÉBEC-LABRADOR (APNQL), COMMISSION DE LA SANTÉ ET DES SERVICES SOCIAUX DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS DU QUÉBEC ET DU LABRADOR (CSSSPNQL), SOCIÉTÉ MAKIVIK, ASSEMBLÉE DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS ASENIWUCHE WINEWAK NATION OF CANADA, SOCIÉTÉ DE SOUTIEN À L'ENFANCE ET À LA FAMILLE DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS DU CANADA

RESPONDENTS

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALBERTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

INTERVENERS

[Style of cause continued on next page]

**FACTUM OF THE INTERVENER,
TRIBAL CHIEFS VENTURES INC.
(Pursuant to Rules 42 of the *Rules of the Supreme Court of Canada*)**

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Suite 2300, Bentall 5
550 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Aaron Christoff

Brent Murphy

Tel: (604) 443-7685

Fax: (604) 683-3558

Email: aaron.christoff@gowlingwlg.com

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600
Ottawa K1P 1C3

Marie-Christine Gagnon

Tel.: (613) 786-0086

Fax: (613) 7563-9869

Email:

Marie-christine.Gagnon@ca.gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Tribal Chiefs Ventures Inc.

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the Intervener, Tribal Chiefs Ventures Inc.

[Style of cause continued]

AND BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

APPELLANT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUÉBEC

RESPONDENT

-and-

GRAND COUNCIL OF TREATY #3, INNU TAKUAIKAN UASHAT MAK MANI-UTENAM (ITUM), AGISSANT COMME BANDE TRADITIONNELLE ET AU NOM DES INNUS DE UASHAT MAK MANI-UTENAM, FEDERATION OF SOVEREIGN INDIGENOUS NATIONS, PEGUIS CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES, NATIVE WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION OF CANADA, COUNCIL OF YUKON FIRST NATIONS, INDIGENOUS BAR ASSOCIATION, CHIEFS OF ONTARIO, INUVIALUIT REGIONAL CORPORATION, INUIT TAPIRIIT KANATAMI, NUNATSIAVUT GOVERNMENT AND NUNAVUT TUNNGAVIK INCORPORATED, NUNATUKAVUT COMMUNITY COUNCIL, LANDS ADVISORY BOARD, MÉTIS NATIONAL COUNCIL, MÉTIS NATION-SASKATCHEWAN, MÉTIS NATION OF ALBERTA, MÉTIS NATION BRITISH COLUMBIA, MÉTIS NATION OF ONTARIO AND LES FEMMES MICHIF OTIPEMISIWAK, LISTUGUJ MI'GMAQ GOVERNMENT, CONGRESS OF ABORIGINAL PEOPLES, FIRST NATIONS FAMILY ADVOCATE OFFICE, ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA CHIEFS, FIRST NATIONS OF THE MAANULTH TREATY SOCIETY, TRIBAL CHIEFS VENTURES INC., UNION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA INDIAN CHIEFS, FIRST NATIONS SUMMIT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA AND BRITISH COLUMBIA ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS, DAVID ASPER CENTRE FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, REGROUPEMENT PETAPAN, CANADIAN CONSTITUTION FOUNDATION, CARRIER SEKANI FAMILY SERVICES SOCIETY, CHESLATTA CARRIER NATION, NADLEH WHUTEN, SAIK'UZ FIRST NATION AND STELLAT'EN FIRST NATION, CONSEIL DES ATIKAMEKW D'OPITCIWAN, VANCOUVER ABORIGINAL CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES SOCIETY, NISHNAWBE ASKI NATION, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALBERTA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

INTERVENERS

**TO: THE REGISTRAR
AND TO:**

BERNARD, ROY & ASSOCIÉS
1, rue Notre-Dame Est, bureau 8.00
Montréal, QC H2Y 1B6

Samuel Chayer
Francis Demers
Tel: (514) 393-2336 Ext: 51456
Fax: (514) 873-7074
Email: samuel.chayer@justice.gouv.qc.ca

Counsel for the Appellant/Respondent,
Attorney General of Québec

MINISTÈRE DE LA JUSTICE - CANADA
284, rue Wellington
Ottawa, ON K1A 0H8

Bernard Letarte
François Joyal
Tel: (613) 946-2776
Fax: (613) 952-6006
Email: bernard.letarte@justice.gc.ca

Counsel for the Respondent/Appellant,
Attorney General of Canada

FRANKLIN GERTLER ÉTUDE LÉGALE
507 Place d'Armes, bureau 1701
Montréal, QC H2Y 2W8

Franklin S. Gertler
Gabrielle Champigny
Hadrien Gabriel Burlone
Mira Levasseur Moreau
Tel: (514) 798-1988
Fax: (514) 798-1986
Email: franklin@gertlerlex.ca

Counsel for the Respondents / Interveniers,
Assemblée des Premières Nations Québec-
Labrador (APNQL) & Commission de la santé

NOËL ET ASSOCIÉS, s.e.n.c.r.l.
225, montée Paiement, 2e étage
Gatineau, QC J8P 6M7

Pierre Landry
Tel: (819) 503-2178
Fax: (819) 771-5397
Email: p.landry@noelassociés.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Appellant/Respondent, Attorney General of
Québec

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA
Department of Justice Canada,
Civil Litigation Section
50 O'Connor Street, 5th Floor
Ottawa, ON K1A 0H8

Christopher M. Rupar
Tel: (613) 670-6290
Fax: (613) 954-1920
Email: christopher.rupar@justice.gc.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Respondent/Appellant, Attorney General of
Canada

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP
100- 340 Gilmour Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major
Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102
Fax: (613) 695-8580
Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the Respondent /
Interveniers, Assemblée des Premières Nations
Québec-Labrador (APNQL) & Commission de
la santé et des services sociaux des Premières

et des services sociaux des Premières Nations
du Québec et du Labrador (CSSSPNQL)

**LARIVIÈRE DORVAL PALARDY
CAMPBELL TUCKER**
1111, boul. Dr.-Frederik-Philips
Montréal, QC H4M 2X6

**Kathryn Tucker
Robin Campbell**
Tel: (514) 745-8880
Fax: (514) 745-3700
Email: ktucker@makivik.org

Counsel for the Respondent / Intervener,
Société Makivik

ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS
55 Metcalfe Street, Suite 1600
Ottawa, ON K1P 6L5

**Stuart Wuttke
Julie McGregor
Adam Williamson**
Tel: (613) 241-6789 Ext: 228
Fax: (613) 241-5808
Email: swuttke@afn.ca

Counsel for the Respondent / Intervener,
Assemblée des Premières Nations

JFK LAW CORPORATION
1175 Douglas St., Suite 816
Victoria, BC V8W 2E1

Claire Truesdale
Tel: (250) 405-3467
Fax: (250) 381-8567
Email: ctruesdale@jfkllaw.ca

Counsel for the Respondent / Intervener,
Aseniwuche Winewak Nation of Canada

Société de soutien à l'enfance et à la famille
des Premières Nations du Canada

Nations du Québec et du Labrador
(CSSSPNQL)

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP
100- 340 Gilmour Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major
Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102
Fax: (613) 695-8580
Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the Respondent /
Intervener, Société Makivik

SUPREME LAW GROUP
1800 - 275 Slater Street
Ottawa, ON K1P 5H9

Moira Dillon
Tel: (613) 691-1224
Fax: (613) 691-1338
Email: mdillon@supremelawgroup.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the Respondent /
Intervener, Assemblée des Premières Nations

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP
100- 340 Gilmour Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major
Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102
Fax: (613) 695-8580
Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the Respondent /
Intervener, Aseniwuche Winewak Nation of
Canada

CONWAY BAXTER WILSON LLP

411 Roosevelt Avenue, suite 400
Ottawa, ON K2A 3X9

David P. Taylor

Naiomi W. Metallic

Tel: (613) 691-0368

FAX: (613) 688-0271

Email: dtaylor@conwaylitigation.ca

Counsel for the Respondent / Intervener,
Société de soutien à l'enfance et à la famille
des Premières Nations du Canada

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA

Constitutional Law

1230 - 405 Broadway

Winnipeg, MB R3C 3L6

Heather S. Leonoff, K.C.

Kathryn Hart

Tel: (204) 391-0717

Fax: (204) 945-0053

Email: heather.leonoff@gov.mb.ca

kathryn.hart@gov.mb.ca

Counsel for the Intervener,
Attorney General of Manitoba

**ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH
COLUMBIA**

PO Box 9280 Stn Prov Govt

Victoria, BC V8W 9J7

Leah Greathead

Tel: (250) 356-8892

Fax: (250) 356-9154

Email: leah.greathead@gov.bc.ca

Counsel for the Intervener,
Attorney General of British Columbia

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Barristers & Solicitors

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600

Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: (613)786-8695

Fax: (613)788-3509

Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the Intervener,
Attorney General of Manitoba

MICHAEL J. SOBKIN

331 Somerset Street West

Ottawa, ON K2P 0J8

Tel: (613) 282-1712

Fax: (613) 288-2896

Email: msobkin@sympatico.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the Intervener,
Attorney General of British Columbia

**ALBERTA JUSTICE AND SOLICITOR
GENERAL**

Alberta Justice and Solicitor General
10th Floor, 10025 - 102 A Avenue
Edmonton, AB T5J 2Z2

Angela Croteau

Nicholas Parker

Tel: (780) 422-6868

Fax: (780) 643-0852

Email: angela.croteau@gov.ab.ca

Counsel for the Intervener,
Attorney General of Alberta

**ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE
NORTHWEST TERRITORIES**

Legal Division, Department of Justice
4903 - 49th Street, P.O. Box 1320
Yellowknife, NWT X1A 2L9

Trisha Paradis

Sandra Jungles

Tel: (867) 767-9257

Fax: (867) 873-0234

Email: Trisha_Paradis@gov.nt.ca

Sandra_Jungles@gov.nt.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Attorney General
of the Northwest Territories

JFK LAW CORPORATION

340 - 1122 Mainland Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V6B 5L1

Robert Janes, Q.C.

Naomi Moses

Tel: (604) 687-0549

Fax: (604) 687-2696

Email: rjanes@jfkllaw.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Grand Council of
Treaty #3

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Barristers & Solicitors
160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600
Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: (613)786-8695

Fax: (613)788-3509

Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the Intervener,
Attorney General of Alberta

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Barristers & Solicitors
160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600
Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: (613)7886-8695

Fax: (613)788-3509

Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the Intervener,
Attorney General of the Northwest Territories

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100- 340 Gilmour Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Grand Council of Treaty #3

O'REILLY & ASSOCIÉS

1155 Robert-Bourassa, Suite 1007
Montréal, QC H3B 3A7

James A. O'Reilly, Ad.E.
Marie-Claude André-Grégoire
Michelle Corbu
Vincent Carney
Tel: (514) 871-8117
Fax: (514) 871-9177
Email: james.oreilly@orassocies.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Innu Takuaikan
Uashat Mak Mani-Utenam (ITUM), agissant
comme bande traditionnelle et au nom des
Innus de Uashat Mak Mani-Utenam

SUNCHILD LAW

Box 1408
Battleford, SK S0M 0E0

Michael Seed
Tel: (306) 441-1473
Fax: (306) 937-6110
Email: michael@sunchildlaw.com
and

DIONNE SCHULZE

507 Place d'Armes, Suite 502
Montreal, QC H2Y 2W8

David Schulze

Tel: (514) 842-0748 #228
Email: dschulze@dionneschulze.ca
Counsel for the Intervener, Federation of
Sovereign Indigenous Nations

HAFEEZ KHAN LAW CORPORATION

1430-363 Broadway Ave.
Winnipeg, MB R3C 3N9

Hafeez Khan

Earl C. Stevenson
Tel: (431) 800-5650
Fax: (431) 800-2702
Email: hkhan@hkllawcorp.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Peguis Child and
Family Services

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

100 Queen Street, suite 1300
Ottawa, ON K1P 1J9

Nadia Effendi

Tel: (613) 787-3562
Fax: (613) 230-8842
Email: neffendi@blg.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Federation of Sovereign Indigenous
Nations

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100- 340 Gilmour Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102
Fax: (613) 695-8580
Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Peguis Child and Family Services

NATIVE WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION OF CANADA
120 Promenade du Portage
Gatineau, QC J8X 2K1

Sarah Niman

Kira Poirier

Tel: (613) 720-2529

Fax: (613) 722-7687

Email: sniman@nwac.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Native Women's Association of Canada

BOUGHTON LAW CORPORATION

700-595 Burrard Street

Vancouver, BC V7X 1S8

Tammy Shoranick

Daryn Leas

James M. Coady

Tel: (604) 687-6789

Fax: (604) 683-5317

Email: tshoranick@boughtonlaw.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Council of Yukon First Nations

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Suite 2300, Bentall 5

550 Burrard Street

Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Paul Seaman

Keith Brown

Tel: (604) 891-2731 / (416) 862-3614

Fax: (604) 443-6780

Email: paul.seaman@gowlingwlg.com |

Counsel for the Intervener, Indigenous Bar Association

FIRST PEOPLES LAW LLP

55 Murray Street, Suite 230

Ottawa, ON K1N 5M3

Virginia Lomax

Tel: (613) 722-9091

Email: vlomax@firstpeopleslaw.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the Intervener, Native Women's Association of Canada

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

100 Queen Street, suite 1300

Ottawa, ON K1P 1J9

Nadia Effendi

Tel: (613) 787-3562

Fax: (613) 230-8842

Email: neffendi@blg.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the Intervener, Council of Yukon First Nations

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Suite 2600

160 Elgin Street

Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Cam Cameron

Tel: (613) 786-8650

Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: cam.cameron@gowlingwlg.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the Intervener, Indigenous Bar Association

OLTHUIS, KLEER, TOWNSHEND LLP

250 University Ave., 8th floor
Toronto, ON M5H 2E5

Maggie Wente

Krista Nerland

Tel: (416) 981-9330

Fax: (416) 981-9350

Email: mwente@oktlaw.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Chiefs of Ontario

FOLGER, RUBINOFF LLP

77 King Street West; Suite 3000,
Toronto, ON M5K 1G8

Katherine Hensel

Kristie Tsang

Tel: (416) 864-7608

Fax: (416) 941-8852

Email: khensel@foglers.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Inuvialuit Regional
Corporation

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

2600 – 160 Elgin Street
Ottawa, ON, K1P 1C3

Brian A. Crane, Q.C.

Graham Ragan

Alyssa Flaherty-Spence

Kate Darling

Tel: (613) 786-0107

Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: Brian.crane@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for the Interveners, Inuit Tapiriit
Kanatami, Nunatsiavut Government And
Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100- 340 Gilmour Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Chiefs of Ontario

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100- 340 Gilmour Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Fax: (613) 695-8580

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Inuvialuit Regional Corporation

BURCHELLS LLP
1800-1801 Hollis St.
Halifax, NS B3J 3N4

Jason Cooke

Ashley Hamp-Gonsalves
Tel: (902) 422-5374
Fax: (902) 420-9326
Email: jcooke@burchells.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Nuntukavut
Community Council

WILLIAM B. HENDERSON
3014 - 88 Bloor St East
Toronto, ON M4W 3G9

Tel: (416) 413-9878
Email: lawyer@bloorstreet.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Lands Advisory
Board

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP
546 Euclid Avenue
Toronto, Ontario, M6G 2T2

Jason T. Madden
Alexander DeParde
Tel.: (416) 916-3853
Fax: (416) 916-3726
Email: jmadden@pstlaw.ca
-and-

CASSELS BROCK & BLACKWELL LLP
885 West Georgia Street, Suite 2200
Vancouver, BC, V6C 3E8

Emilie N. Lahaie
Tel.: (778) 372-7651
Fax: (604) 691-6120
Email: elahaie@cassels.com

Counsel for Interveners, Métis National
Council, Métis Nation-Saskatchewan, Métis
Nation of Alberta, Métis Nation British
Columbia, Métis Nation of Ontario and Les
femmes Michif Otipemisiwak

POWER LAW
99 Bank Street
Suite 701
Ottawa, ON K1P 6B9

Jonathan Laxer
Tel: (613) 907-5652
Fax: (613) 907-5652
Email: jlaxer@powerlaw.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Nuntukavut Community Council

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP
100- 340 Gilmour Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major
Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102
Fax: (613) 695-8580
Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Lands Advisory Board

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP
160 Elgin Street Suite 2600
Ottawa K1P 1C3

Matthew Estabrooks
Tel.: (613) 786-0211
Fax: (613) 788-3573
Email: matthew.estabrooks@gowlingwlg.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Métis National Council, Métis
Nation-Saskatchewan, Métis Nation of
Alberta, Métis Nation British Columbia, Métis
Nation of Ontario and Les femmes Michif
Otipemisiwak

PAPE SALTER TEILLET LLP
546 Euclid Avenue
Toronto, Ontario, M6G 2T2

Zachary Davis
Riley Weyman
Tel.: (416) 427-0337
Fax: (416) 916-3726
Email: zdavis@pstlaw.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Listuguj Mi'Gmaq
Government

**PALIARE, ROLAND, ROSENBERG,
ROTHSTEIN, LLP**
155 Wellington Street West, 35th Floor
Toronto, ON M5V 3H1

Andrew K. Lokan
Tel: (416) 646-4324
Fax: (416) 646-4301
Email: andrew.lokan@paliareroland.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Congress
of Aboriginal Peoples

PUBLIC INTEREST LAW CENTRE
100 - 287 Broadway
Winnipeg, MB R3C 0R9

Joëlle Pastora Sala
Allison Fenske
Maximilian Griffin-Rill
Adrienne Cooper
Tel: (204) 985-9735
Fax: (204) 985-8544
Email: jopas@pilc.mb.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, First Nations
Family Advocate Office

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP
160 Elgin Street Suite 2600
Ottawa K1P 1C3

Matthew Estabrooks
Tel.: (613) 786-0211
Fax: (613) 788-3573
Email: matthew.estabrooks@gowlingwlg.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Listuguj Mi'Gmaq Government

DENTONS CANADA LLP
99 Bank Street, Suite 1420
Ottawa, ON K1P 1H4

David R. Elliott
Tel: (613) 783-9699
Fax: (613) 783-9690
Email: david.elliott@dentons.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Congress of Aboriginal Peoples

JURISTES POWER
99, rue Bank, Bureau 701
Ottawa, ON K1P 6B9

Darius Bossé
Tel: (613) 702-5566
Fax: (613) 702-5566
Email: DBosse@juristespower.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, First Nations Family Advocate
Office

TORYS LLP

79 Wellington Street, 30th Floor
Box 270, TD Centre
Toronto, ON M5K 1N2

David Outerbridge

Craig Gilchrist

Rebecca Amoah

Tel: (416) 865-7825

Fax (416) 865-7380

Email: douterbridge@torys.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Assembly of
Manitoba Chiefs

**FIRST NATIONS OF THE MAA-NULTH
TREATY SOCIETY**

500-221 West Esplanade
North Vancouver, BC V7M 3J3

Maegen M. Giltrow, K.C.

Natalia Sudeyko

Tel: (604) 988-5201

Fax: (604) 988-1452

Email: mgiltrow@ratcliff.com

Counsel for the Intervener, First Nations of the
Maa-Nuth Treaty Society

CHAMP & ASSOCIATES

43 Florence Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0W6

Bijon Roy

Tel: (613) 237-4740

Fax: (613) 232-2680

Email: broy@champlaw.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, First Nations of the Maa-Nuth
Treaty Society

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Suite 2300, Bentall 5
550 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Aaron Christoff

Brent Murphy

Tel: (604) 443-7685

Fax: (604) 683-3558

Email: aaron.christoff@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Tribal Chiefs
Ventures Inc.

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600
Ottawa K1P 1C3

Marie-Christine Gagnon

Tel.: (613) 786-0086

Fax: (613) 7563-9869

Email:

Marie-hristine.Gagnon@ca.gowlingwlg.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Tribal Chiefs Ventures Inc.

OLTHUIS VAN ERT

66 Lisgar Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0C1

Gib van Ert

Fraser Harland

Mary Ellen Turpel-Lafond

Tel: (613) 408-4297

Fax: (613) 651-0304

Email: gvanert@ovcounsel.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Union of British
Columbia Indian Chiefs, First Nations Summit
of British Columbia and British Columbia
Assembly of First Nations

GOLDBLATT PARTNERS LLP

20 Dundas Street West, Suite 1100
Toronto, ON M5G 2G8

Jessica Orkin

Natai Shelsen

Tel: (416) 977-6070

Fax: (416) 591-7333

Email: jorkin@goldblattpartners.com

Counsel for the Intervener, David Asper Centre
for Constitutional Rights

CAIN LAMARRE

814, boul. Saint Joseph
Roberval, QC G8H 2L5

François G. Tremblay

Benoît Amyot

Tel: (418) 545-4580

Fax: (418) 549-9590

Email: notification.cain.saguenay@clcw.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Regroupement
Petapan

GOLDBLATT PARTNERS LLP

500-30 Metcalfe St.
Ottawa, ON K1P 5L4

Colleen Bauman

Tel: (613) 482-2463

Fax: (613) 235-5327

Email: cbauman@goldblattpartners.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, David Asper Centre for
Constitutional Rights

CONWAY BAXTER WILSON LLP

400 - 411 Roosevelt Avenue
Ottawa, ON K2A 3X9

Marion Sandilands

Tel: (613) 288-0149

Fax: (613) 688-0271

Email: msandilands@conway.pro

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Regroupement Petapan

MCCARTHY, TÉTRAULT LLP

TD Bank Tower
Suite 5300
Toronto, ON M5K 1E6

Jesse Hartery

Simon Bouthillier

Tel: (416) 362-1812

Fax: (416) 868-0673

Email: jhartery@mccarthy.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Canadian
Constitution Foundation

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Suite 2300, Bentall 5
550 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Scott A. Smith

Tel: (604) 891-2764

Fax: (604) 443-6784

Email: aaron.christoff@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Carrier Sekani
Family Services Society, Cheslatta Carrier
Nation, Nadleh Whuten, Saik'uz First Nation
and Stelat'en First Nation

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600
Ottawa K1P 1C3

Jeffrey W. Beedell

Tel.: (613) 786-0171

Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: jeff.beedell@gowlingwlg.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Carrier Sekani Family Services
Society, Cheslatta Carrier Nation, Nadleh
Whuten, Saik'uz First Nation and Stelat'en
First Nation

SIMARD BOIVIN LEMIEUX

1150, boul. Saint-Félicien
Bureau 106
Saint-Félicien, QC G8K 2W5

Kevin Ajmo

Tel: (418) 679-8888

Fax: (514) 679-8902

Email: k.ajmo@sblavocats.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Conseil des
Atikamekw d'Opiteciwan

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Suite 2300, Bentall 5
550 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC V6C 2B5

Maxime Faille

Tel: (604) 891-2733
Fax: (604) 443-6784
Email: maxime.faille@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Vancouver
Aboriginal Child & Family Services Society

FALCONERS LLP

10 Alcorn Avenue, Suite 204
Toronto, ON M4V 3A9

Julian N. Falconer

Tel: (416) 964-0495 Ext: 222
Fax: (416) 929-8179
Email: julianf@falconers.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Nishnawbe Aski
Nation

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600
Ottawa K1P 1C3

Jeffrey W. Beedell

Tel.: (613) 786-0171
Fax: (613) 563-9869
Email: jeff.beedell@gowlingwlg.com

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Vancouver Aboriginal Child &
Family Services Society

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100- 340 Gilmour Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102
Fax: (613) 695-8580
Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Ottawa Agent for Counsel for the
Intervener, Nishnawbe Aski Nation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I – OVERVIEW OF POSITION AND FACTS..... 1

 A. Overview 1

 B. Facts 1

PART II – POSITION ON QUESTIONS RAISED..... 2

PART III – STATEMENT OF ARGUMENT..... 3

 A. Treaty 6 supports an inherent Indigenous right of self-government, including with respect to child and family services, and has not been abrogated..... 3

 B. The honour of the Crown requires a cooperative approach for recognizing and implementing the inherent Indigenous right of self-government in regards to child and family services8

PART IV – COSTS 10

PART V – TABLE OF AUTHORITIES 11

PART I—OVERVIEW OF POSITION AND FACTS

A. Overview

1. Tribal Chiefs Ventures Inc. (“TCVI”) is a tribal council of Treaty 6 Nations, mandated to advance the treaty and inherent rights of its member Nations. In this regard, TCVI makes two submissions to the Court on the vital issues at play concerning the implementation of an inherent Indigenous right of self-government in the context of the legislation at issue, *An Act respecting First Nations, Inuit and Métis children, youth and families* (S.C. 2019, c. 24) (the “Act”). This inherent right of self-government flows from the broader right of self-determination that has been inherited from the Creator.
2. First, Treaty 6 is a solemn Nation to Nation arrangement that supports the recognition of an inherent Indigenous right of self-government, including with respect to jurisdiction over child and family services. Neither the inherent right at issue, nor the Crown-Indigenous relationship under Treaty 6, have been overtaken by any later developments, including the creation of the Provinces, or the 1930 provincial Natural Resource Transfer Agreements (“NRTAs”). Further, since 1982, these inherent rights have been recognized and affirmed under the Canadian constitution pursuant to s. 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*. Recognition of an inherent Indigenous right of self-government in the context of children and families is also consistent with, and further affirmed by, the *United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples* (“UNDRIP”), which has now been adopted without qualification by Canada. The legal effect of Canada, the original treaty partner, deciding to recognize and implement an Indigenous right of self-government through the Act is that Indigenous laws passed under that legislation must trump provincial jurisdiction in instances where the two conflict.
3. Second, the unwritten principle of the honour of the Crown requires the federal and provincial Crowns to work together to recognize, enhance, uphold and implement that inherent right, and accordingly, to implement and operationalize the Act. Further, the pre-existing territorial boundaries associated with Treaty 6 give rise to an obligation to cooperate across the Crown’s internal division of powers, and across provincial boundaries where necessary.

B. Facts

4. TCVI takes no position regarding the facts as set out in the parties’ facts, but adds the following:

5. TCVI is a federally incorporated not-for-profit organization and a federally recognized Tribal Council of Treaty 6 Nations. TCVI’s member Nations include Beaver Lake Cree Nation, Cold Lake First Nations, Frog Lake First Nations, Heart Lake First Nation, Kehewin Cree Nation, and Whitefish Lake First Nation No. 128, all adherents to Treaty 6.
6. Treaty 6 was entered into in 1876 between First Nations and the federal Crown, pre-dating the creation of Alberta and Saskatchewan as provinces in 1905.¹ Treaty 6 includes 48 First Nations (17 AB, 29 SK, & 2 MB). The relevant territory stretches from western Alberta into Saskatchewan and Manitoba. Among other things, Treaty 6 includes special clauses for “medicine chest”, education rights, and famine and pestilence.²
7. The overrepresentation of Indigenous children in care is well-known, and dire.³ This is particularly so in Alberta, where in 2014/2015 it was determined that Indigenous children account for 69% of the children in care despite comprising only 9% of the population.⁴ Alberta’s Office of the Child and Youth Advocate has confirmed as recently as September 2022 that Indigenous children continue to be overrepresented in care, and in deaths in the child welfare system (making up approximately 80% of those deaths from October 2021 to March 2022).⁵ For this reason, jurisdiction over child and family services is a matter of critical importance; quite literally a matter of life or death for TCVI’s member Nations and their children.

PART II—POSITION ON QUESTIONS RAISED

8. TCVI provides two submissions to assist the Court in resolving this appeal: (i) Treaty 6 supports the existence of an inherent Indigenous right of self-government, including with respect to child and family services. This inherent Indigenous right has not been overtaken by later developments,

¹ *Frank v R*, [1978] 1 SCR 95 (“*Frank*”) at paras 3, 5.

² [*Treaty No 6 between Her Majesty the Queen and the Plain and Wood Cree Indians and other Tribes of Indians at Fort Carlton, Fort Pitt and Battle River with Adhesions*](#) (“Treaty 6”).

³ *Renvoi à la Cour d’appel du Québec relatif à la Loi concernant les enfants, les jeunes et les familles des Premières Nations, des Inuits et des Métis*, 2022 QCCA 185 (“QCCA Opinion”) at paras 126-136.

⁴ Record of the First Nations Child and Family Caring Society of Canada, vol 10, Exhibit CB-29 of Sworn Declaration of Dr. Cindy Blackstock, December 4, 2020, “Aboriginal Children in Care: Report to Canada’s Premiers July 2015” at pp 2624 and 2647.

⁵ [*Mandatory Reviews into Child Deaths, October 1, 2021-March 31, 2022*](#), Office of the Child and Youth Advocate Alberta, September 2022.

but instead has been affirmed by s. 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982* and UNDRIP; and (ii) the unwritten constitutional principle of the honour of the Crown requires both of the federal and provincial Crowns to work together to uphold Treaty, including inherent self-government rights, and to implement and operationalize validly enacted legislation for the benefit of First Nations, which includes cross-border cooperation.

9. Given the above, TCVI submits that (i) the Court below correctly recognized that an inherent Indigenous right of self-government exists with respect to jurisdiction over children and families, consistent with Treaty 6 and is protected by s. 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*; and (ii) ss. 18, 20(2), 20(3), 21(1), and 22(3) of the Act are valid mechanisms by which the inherent right of self-government may be operationalized. The overarching principle of the honour of the Crown requires that the different orders of government cooperate in good faith to implement the Act.

PART III—STATEMENT OF ARGUMENT

A. Treaty 6 supports an inherent Indigenous right of self-government, including with respect to child and family services, and has not been abrogated

10. Treaties form part of Canada’s constitutional architecture and in this regard, inform the progressive interpretive exercise required by this case. As recognized by the Court below:

Aboriginal peoples have a right of self-government within Canada, **based on the historical relationship between them and the Crown**, such right forming part of the Aboriginal rights recognized and affirmed by s. 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*... [this right exists] within the contemporary Canadian constitutional architecture, at least with respect to child and family services, and it is constitutionally protected under s. 35 [emphasis added].⁶

11. TCVI submits that Treaty 6, and the superimposition of borders in that territory by Canada over time, does not undermine the existence of an inherent right of self-government, nor justify an analysis which would prefer provincial jurisdiction to Indigenous jurisdiction over their own children and families in any way relevant to this appeal or the Act. To the contrary, TCVI submits that Treaty 6 affirms the existence of an inherent right of self-government, including jurisdiction over children and families, for Treaty 6 nations.

⁶ [QCCA Opinion at para 364.](#)

12. Firstly, Treaty 6, as with all documents of a constitutional character, demands a progressive interpretation. Such treaties should be “liberally construed”,⁷ and their interpretation should be capable of evolution within their natural limits to ensure their continued relevance and legitimacy in modern times.⁸ Treaties “are solemn agreements and they are intended to last indefinitely.”⁹
13. In this specific regard, Treaty 6 does not expressly speak to a right of self-government *per se* (nor indeed care of children and families). However, TCVI submits that the existence of this inherent right ought to be presumed in view of the solemn relationship arrived at as between nations, enshrined by the treaty, and now further supported by the articles of UNDRIP. Treaty 6 is premised on a recognition of the collective status and political leadership of the First Nation signatories (indeed, without which it would have been impossible to conclude it). As stated by this Court in *R v. Badger*:

... it must be remembered that a treaty represents an exchange of solemn promises between the Crown and the various Indian nations. It is an agreement whose nature is sacred.¹⁰

14. The treaty relationship also assumes the basic independence of the signatories, and includes an agreement for all to live together peaceably (and TCVI would add, largely independently). In this respect, the underlying principle and terms of Treaty 6 are consistent with, and are premised upon, the implicit recognition of the inherent right of self-government which must be reconciled with the assertion of Crown sovereignty and the underlying agreement to share the land.¹¹
15. This relationship is founded upon the *Royal Proclamation of 1763*, which established the core elements of the relationship between First Nations and the Crown, recognizing First Nation rights in Canada, and implementing the treaty-making process. As discussed by this Court in *Manitoba Métis Federation v. Canada*, this relationship was premised on a recognition of Indigenous strength and independence, rather than a paternalistic desire to protect or subjugate Indigenous peoples.¹²

⁷ *R v Marshall*, [\[1999\] 3 SCR 456](#) at para 78(2).

⁸ *Reference re Same-Sex Marriage*, [2004 SCC 79](#) at para 23.

⁹ *Keewatin v Ontario (Natural Resources)*, [2013 ONCA 158](#) at para 137.

¹⁰ *R v Badger*, [\[1996\] 1 SCR 771](#) at para 41.

¹¹ [Treaty 6](#).

¹² *Manitoba Métis Federation v Canada (Attorney-General)*, [2013 SCC 14](#) (“*MMF*”) at para 66.

16. Treaty 6 has been specifically described by the Federal Court as “an instrument of peace and friendship, in that it forged an alliance between the aboriginal people of that area with the Canadian government.”¹³ This Court has found that one central purpose of Treaty 6 was to preserve the “traditional Indian way of life.”¹⁴
17. In its factum, the Attorney General of Alberta seeks to persuade this Court that “cede and surrender” clauses in treaties could somehow operate to extinguish the inherent right of self-government.¹⁵ This position is untenable, and an issue that this Court ought not entertain for one instant. There is no support for the proposition that this “cede and surrender” language has any application beyond issues relating to Indigenous peoples agreeing to share their lands and resources with newcomers, and indeed the text of Treaty 6 refers only to land.¹⁶
18. Importantly, the creation of Alberta and Saskatchewan in 1905 also has no bearing on the care of children and families. As noted above, Treaty 6 was signed in 1876 and pre-dated their creation. Though provincial boundaries were later unilaterally superimposed, it did not alter the treaty relationship, the assumptions underpinning the relationship, nor the essential terms of the treaty as it was concluded with the federal Crown.¹⁷ This Court recently held that the subsequent imposition of provincial and even international borders does not preclude the assertion of s. 35 rights, nor obviate the Crown’s obligation to deal with Indigenous people to reconcile those rights.¹⁸

¹³ *Buffalo v Canada*, [2005 FC 1622](#) at para 514.

¹⁴ *R v Sundown*, [\[1999\] 1 SCR 393](#) at para 6.

¹⁵ Factum of the Intervener, Attorney General of Alberta (“AB Factum”) at paras 22-23.

¹⁶ [Treaty 6](#) provides: “The Plain and Wood Cree Tribes of Indians, and all other the Indians inhabiting the district hereinafter described and defined, do hereby cede, release, surrender and yield up...all their rights, titles and privileges, whatsoever, to the lands included within the following limits...” [emphasis added].

¹⁷ See [Frank](#) at para 5, where this Court determined that the creation of Alberta and Saskatchewan in 1905 did not affect “[t]he right of Indians to hunt on Treaty No. 6 lands...”

¹⁸ See e.g. *Newfoundland and Labrador (Attorney General) v Uashaunnuat (Innu of Uashat and of Mani-Utenam)*, [2020 SCC 4](#) at para 49; *R v Desautel*, [2021 SCC 17](#) (“*Desautel*”); *R v Boyer*, [2022 SKCA 62](#) at para 116.

19. Likewise, it is clear that the NRTAs did not fragment treaty areas by provincial boundaries in any way relevant to this appeal.¹⁹ The Attorney General of Alberta’s invocation of the NRTAs in its factum is plainly a red herring: these agreements were arrangements internal to the Crown, and have no bearing on inherent rights relating to Indigenous people caring for their own children and families. As this Court recently reaffirmed in *R. v. Desautel*, “the NRTAs ... are ‘constitutional agreement[s], not the Constitution’.”²⁰
20. Today, the inherent rights at issue are recognized and affirmed by s. 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*, and further affirmed by the articles of UNDRIP, which has now been adopted without qualification by Canada via the *United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act*, S.C. 2021, c. 14 (“**UNDRIPA**”).
21. Alberta courts have already begun to recognize the applicability of UNDRIPA in the context of child protection, noting that “the rights guaranteed by international convention are given express application to Canadian law”,²¹ and that “Article 8 ... and other articles of the UNDRIPA are relevant and have application to the child protection schemes in Canada and are particularly relevant to private guardianship applications because of the risks of assimilation inherent in the adoption of Indigenous children, particularly by non-Indigenous persons.”²²
22. UNDRIP, of course, contains provisions affirming the rights of Indigenous peoples and requires that states such as Canada honour and respect treaties made with them,²³ and recognize Indigenous peoples’ right to govern themselves²⁴ and care for and bring up their children in their culture.²⁵
23. The inherent rights at issue clearly mirror a basic human right to care for one’s own children and families. The Act provides a procedural method to implement this right. This is not a situation,

¹⁹ [Frank](#) at para 12; *R v Green*, 2022 SKCA 92 at paras 34, 41.

²⁰ [Desautel](#), at para 42, citing *Daniels v Canada (Indian Affairs and Northern Development)*, [2016 SCC 12](#) at para 44.

²¹ *MM v Alberta (Child, Youth and Family Enhancement Act, Director)*, [2021 ABPC 317](#) at para 29.

²² *SK v Alberta (Child, Youth and Family Enhancement Act, Director)*, [2022 ABPC 144](#) at para 23.

²³ *United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples* (“UNDRIP”) at [Article 37](#).

²⁴ UNDRIP at Articles [3 and 4](#).

²⁵ UNDRIP at Articles [7 and 14](#).

as suggested by Alberta, where it is appropriate for Indigenous people to be put to strict proof of their right to care for their own children and families. As stated by the Court below:

This right of self-government [child and family services] falls within s. 35 because it is a form of Aboriginal right. It is a generic right that extends to all Aboriginal peoples, because it is intimately tied to their cultural continuity and survival. In the past, significant barriers, such as residential schools, impeded the exercise of that right. These situations, however, were never endorsed by Parliament, which never indicated, through clear and unambiguous legislation, its intention to extinguish the right.²⁶

24. Furthermore, jurisdiction over care of one's own children and families carries with it the inevitable consequence that provincial jurisdiction must be fully ousted whenever necessary. The Attorney General of Alberta complains of various matters regarding the Louis Bull Tribe's AMO Law which, among other things, claims jurisdiction with respect to its children off-reserve and ousts the jurisdiction of provincial courts over its children.²⁷ However, these features are essential and indeed the very point of exercising jurisdiction over the care of children and families. In any event, it is not necessary for this Court to opine on the breadth or validity of any particular community's laws to resolve this case.²⁸
25. The inappropriateness of subjecting a right of self-government regarding children and families to the *Sparrow* test is addressed in the submissions of other interveners and the parties. From a treaty perspective, though, it bears emphasizing that this Court has found that the provinces cannot extinguish s. 35 rights.²⁹ Thus, provinces cannot now say that provincial jurisdiction trumps treaty and inherent rights, leaving the original treaty partner, Canada, constitutionally impotent if the provinces simply choose not to cooperate. As set out below, this state of affairs also would not accord with the honour of the Crown.
26. In the present case, this means that the treaty relationship, and the underlying affirmation of the right of self-government among treaty nations, subsists and is now recognized and affirmed by s.

²⁶ [QCCA Opinion](#) at para 59.

²⁷ AB Factum at para 20.

²⁸ See *Phillips v Nova Scotia (Commission of Inquiry into the Westray Mine Tragedy)*, [\[1995\] 2 SCR 97](#) at paras 6-11.

²⁹ *Delgamuukw v British Columbia*, [\[1997\] 3 SCR 1010](#) (“*Delgamuukw*”) at paras 180-183.

35 of the *Constitution Act 1982*, and should not be subordinated by provincial jurisdiction, including through the application of an analysis focused on justification of infringement.

B. The honour of the Crown requires a cooperative approach for recognizing and implementing the inherent Indigenous right of self-government in regards to child and family services

27. This Court has previously held that unwritten constitutional principles may in certain circumstances give rise to substantive legal obligations capable of limiting government action. Such unwritten constitutional principles are “not merely descriptive, but are also invested with a powerful normative force, and are binding on both courts and governments.”³⁰
28. TCVI submits that the honour of the Crown, as an unwritten constitutional principle,³¹ requires that Canada and the provinces cooperate with Indigenous communities to recognize and implement the inherent Indigenous jurisdiction over child and family services under the Act. This was recognized by the Court below when it held that “[c]ooperation between the federal and provincial governments in recognizing and implementing Aboriginal rights is necessary to ensure the harmonious exercise of these rights. This cooperation flows from the constitutional principle of the honour of the Crown...”³²
29. In this case, the legal obligations that flow from the honour of the Crown must be understood to apply to both the federal and provincial governments. This is particularly so in the treaty context, where obligations are owed by both levels of government and where the exercise of Crown powers—both federal and provincial—are burdened by those treaty obligations.³³ As set out above, the provinces have no constitutional authority to extinguish s. 35 rights;³⁴ to allow the provinces to defeat the recognition and implementation of s. 35 rights by simply refusing to

³⁰ *Reference re Secession of Quebec*, [1998] 2 SCR 217 at para 54; See also *Toronto (City) v Ontario (Attorney General)*, 2021 SCC 34 (“*Toronto (City)*”) at paras 54-56.

³¹ *Beckman v Little Salmon/Carmacks First Nation*, 2010 SCC 53 at para 42; *Toronto (City)* at paras 54-56.

³² *QCCA Opinion* at para 559.

³³ *Grassy Narrows First Nation v Ontario (Minister of Natural Resources)*, 2014 SCC 48 (“*Grassy Narrows*”) at paras 35, 50.

³⁴ *Delgamuukw* at paras 180-183.

cooperate would be to “[permit] the Crown to do by one means that which it cannot do by another [and] would undermine the endeavour of reconciliation, which animates Aboriginal law.”³⁵

30. That the Act was passed by the federal government—the original Treaty 6 partner—does not detract from a provincial responsibility to honour the Act’s purpose. To the contrary, as discussed above, Treaty 6 does not include any clear and plain intent to extinguish the inherent Indigenous authority over children and families. As such, both the federal and provincial governments share the burden of recognizing and implementing this existing inherent Indigenous authority, via the Act. This is in line with ultimate purpose of the honour of the Crown, which is the reconciliation of pre-existing Aboriginal societies with the assertion of Crown sovereignty.³⁶
31. The honour of the Crown, and the resultant Crown obligations to recognize and implement the exercise of inherent Indigenous authority over child and family services through the unique context of this Act, also accords with the principle of cooperative federalism by facilitating and indeed requiring the “enactment of co-ordinated federal and provincial legislative schemes to better deal with the local needs of unity and diversity.”³⁷
32. Further, because the territorial boundaries established by treaty differ from the provincial boundaries that were established at a later date, there is both a legal and practical need for cooperation with respect to recognizing and implementing Indigenous self-government rights, both within the individual provinces and in some instances, across provincial boundaries.
33. One consequence of the unilateral imposition of borders in Treaty 6 territory is that certain Indigenous communities in Treaty 6 find themselves bisected by these provincial borders. This means that in some instances, Treaty 6 communities may have two separate provincial child and family services regimes applied to their children and families. Thus, in the context of Treaty 6, the objective of reconciliation and the concomitant obligations associated with the honour of the Crown may require that the federal government, Alberta, and Saskatchewan cooperate alongside Indigenous peoples when implementing the inherent Indigenous jurisdiction over children and

³⁵ *Mikisew Cree First Nation v Canada (Governor General in Council)*, [2018 SCC 40](#) at para 44.

³⁶ *MMF* at para 66.

³⁷ *Quebec (Attorney General) v Canada (Attorney General)*, [2015 SCC 14](#) at para 148.

families. This is part and parcel of the treaty relationship, and the provinces being subject to both the benefits and burdens of the treaty relationship originally established by Canada.³⁸


34. In the context of Treaty 6, a multi-jurisdictional and cooperative approach to recognizing and implementing inherent Indigenous jurisdiction over children and families also accords with the honourable requirement to act diligently in the pursuit of the Crown's solemn obligations and the honourable reconciliation of Crown and Aboriginal interests.³⁹ This cooperation between and among the federal and provincial governments is essential to achieving these objectives, and only in realizing these objectives can the treaty relationship be honoured, the purposes of the Act be fulfilled, and the integrity of Indigenous children, families, and communities be safeguarded.

PART IV—COSTS

35. TCVI does not seek costs and respectfully asks that no costs be awarded against it.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

Dated at Vancouver, British Columbia, November 14, 2022.



Aaron Christoff & Brent Murphy
Counsel for the Intervener, Tribal Chiefs
Ventures Inc.

³⁸ [Grassy Narrows](#) at para 50.

³⁹ See [MMF](#) at para 78.

PART V—TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Legislation and Regulations	Paragraph References
<i>Constitution Act, 1982</i>	2, 8, 9, 20, 26
<i>United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act</i> , S.C. 2021, c. 14	20, 21
<i>United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples</i>	22
<i>Treaty No 6 between Her Majesty the Queen and the Plain and Wood Cree Indians and other Tribes of Indians at Fort Carlton, Fort Pitt and Battle River with Adhesions</i>	6, 14, 17
Case Law	Paragraph References
<i>Beckman v Little Salmon/Carmacks First Nation</i> , 2010 SCC 53	28
<i>Buffalo v Canada</i> , 2005 FC 1622	16
<i>Daniels v Canada (Indian Affairs and Northern Development)</i> , 2016 SCC 12	19
<i>Delgamuukw v British Columbia</i> , [1997] 3 SCR 1010	25, 29
<i>Frank v R</i> , [1978] 1 SCR 95	6, 18, 19
<i>Grassy Narrows First Nation v Ontario (Minister of Natural Resources)</i> , 2014 SCC 48	29, 33
<i>Keewatin v Ontario (Natural Resources)</i> , 2013 ONCA 158	12
<i>Manitoba Métis Federation v Canada</i> , 2013 SCC 14	15, 30, 34
<i>MM v Alberta (Child, Youth and Family Enhancement Act, Director)</i> , 2021 ABPC 317	21
<i>Mikisew Cree First Nation v. Canada (Governor General in Council)</i> , 2018 SCC 4	29

<i>Newfoundland and Labrador (Attorney General) v Uashaunnuat (Innu of Uashat and of Mani-Utenam)</i> , 2020 SCC 4	18
<i>Phillips v Nova Scotia (Commission of Inquiry into the Westray Mine Tragedy)</i> , [1995] 2 SCR 97	24
<i>Quebec (Attorney General) v Canada (Attorney General)</i> , 2015 SCC 14	31
<i>R. v. Badger</i> , [1996] 1 SCR 771	13
<i>R. v Desautel</i> , 2021 SCC 17	18, 19
<i>R v Boyer</i> , 2022 SKCA 62	18
<i>R v Green</i> , 2022 SKCA 92	19
<i>R v Marshall</i> , [1999] 3 SCR 456	12
<i>R v Sundown</i> , [1999] 1 SCR 393	16
<i>Reference re Secession of Quebec</i> , [1998] 2 SCR 217	27
<i>Reference re Same-Sex Marriage</i> , 2004 SCC 79	12
<i>Renvoi à la Cour d'appel du Québec relatif à la Loi concernant les enfants, les jeunes et les familles des Premières Nations, des Inuits et des Métis</i> , 2022 QCCA 185	7, 10, 23, 28
<i>SK v Alberta (Child, Youth and Family Enhancement Act, Director)</i> , 2022 ABPC 144	21
<i>Toronto (City) v Ontario (Attorney General)</i> , 2021 SCC 34	27, 28
Secondary Sources	Paragraph References
Mandatory Reviews into Child Deaths, October 1, 2021-March 31, 2022, Office of the Child and Youth Advocate Alberta, September 2022	7